A New Chromium-Free Welding Consumable for Joining Austenitic Stainless Steels

A novel Ni-Cu-based consumable was developed to reduce Cr(VI) in the fume generated during welding of Type 304L stainless steel
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ABSTRACT

Federal legislation was enacted in 2006 reducing the permissible exposure limit (PEL) of workers, including welding-related personnel, to Cr(VI) by an order of magnitude. Achieving the new level may not always be practical during fabrication or repair of stainless components in tightly enclosed locations. Therefore, the current study was performed to evaluate the use of Ni-Cu-based welding consumables to weld Type 304L stainless steel while achieving significant reductions of Cr(VI) bearing compounds in welding fume. Consumables were made in bare wire form for gas tungsten arc welding (GTAW) and in coated form for shielded metal arc welding (SMAW). During the development of these consumables, several generations were produced with each improving on how previous generation. Weldability was a primary focus during the development process because switching from a stainless steel welding consumable to a Ni-based alloy could potentially exacerbate weldability issues such as solidification, liquation, and ductility dip cracking. Welds were deposited on Type 304L base metal with the consumables developed during the study and subjected to various tests to evaluate mechanical and corrosion behavior, resistance to weld cracking issues typical of Ni-based alloys, and fume generation characteristics. Weld mechanical properties and weldability performance were similar in nature to other austenitic Ni-based alloys and stainless steels. By using the Ni-Cu based consumable to weld stainless steel, Cr(VI) in the welding fume was reduced by two orders of magnitude from conventional stainless steel welding electrodes.
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Introduction

Environmental and occupational safety awareness is becoming increasingly important in today’s workplace. This is impacting the welding industry because government standards are decreasing the allowable levels of certain elements and species present in welding fume (Ref. 1). Cr is typically found in stainless steel welding fume because it is one of the major alloying additions used in such consumables. Welding fume generated during stainless steel welding has been shown to contain compounds such as CrO3, Na2CrO4, and K2CrO4, which contain Cr in its hexavalent state, i.e., Cr(VI) (Refs. 2–4). Since at least the mid 1970s, United States government agencies such as the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) have labeled Cr(VI) compounds as suspected carcinogens (Ref. 5). Most recently in 2006, OSHA released its latest ruling that drastically reduced the permissible exposure limit (PEL) of Cr(VI) compounds in the vicinity of welding personnel from 52 to 5 μg m⁻³. This ruling is a result of their findings that workers are at an elevated risk of developing lung cancer and asthma, as well as nasal and skin damage due to Cr(VI) exposure. The exposure level for total welding fume prior to 1989 was set at 5 mg m⁻³. This limit was abandoned after 1989 and no longer became enforceable since the composition of welding fume was deemed to vary too widely, and because of possible interactions between various constituents in the fume.

With regard to Cr(VI) fume control, in particular, several options have been suggested to remediate overexposure once it has been found that the PEL is exceeded (Ref. 8). The welding process may be changed from a process that uses alkali metals in the flux to a bare wire process. The presence of alkali metals can greatly increase Cr(VI) formation and have higher inherent fume generation rates. Changing shielding gasses or making welding parameter adjustments may also reduce fume generation rates. In addition to process modifications, some research has suggested compositional modifications. Zn additions made to metal cored stainless steel wires showed that Zn preferentially reacts with available oxygen during fume formation, thus reducing the oxidation of chromium, but with increased fume generation rates (Ref. 9). The replacement of potassium with lithium was also performed demonstrating the reduction of Cr(VI) by reduction of potassium chromate, although it was unclear how these additions would affect weld soundness. A secondary gas mixture has been applied to interfere with the reaction between ozone and chromium to prevent CrO3 formation (Ref. 10).

For situations where it is difficult to implement engineering controls, alternative
approaches have been considered to minimize welder exposure to Cr(VI). One such approach was to investigate the possibility of using a filler metal that will produce satisfactory welds on stainless steel while minimizing the generation of Cr(VI) to acceptable limits in the welding fume (Refs. 11–15). Such a filler metal would need to have good weldability, corrosion characteristics comparable to common stainless steel alloys (such as Type 304), and ultimately lack the element Cr to prevent formation of Cr(VI) compounds during fume formation. Previous research showed that use of a welding consumable based on the Ni-Cu (Monel®) system to join stainless steel exhibited suitable corrosion resistance (Refs. 11–14), as well as weld compatibility and acceptable mechanical properties (Ref. 15).

The Ni-Cu system was selected based on its galvanic compatibility with austenitic stainless steel in chloride environments, and noble alloying elements such as Pd were added to increase resistance to localized corrosion phenomena such as pitting and crevice corrosion. The optimal composition to achieve adequate corrosion resistance was determined to be Ni-(5-10) Cu-1Pd (Ref. 12). Concentrations of Cr in the welding fume were reduced by a factor of 20 during welding of Type 304 stainless steel with Monel as a consumable (Ref. 15).

Because the element Cr was not alloyed in the consumable, Cr present in the fume resulted only from vaporization of the base metal. The contribution to fume generation from the base metal has been shown to produce fume at a rate four orders of magnitude lower than the consumables themselves (Ref. 2); thus, Cr reductions in welding fume produced by a Cr-free consumable are expected to be significant.

Previous studies have focused primarily on testing the feasibility of using Ni-Cu consumables during welding of Type 304L austenitic stainless steel (Refs. 11–15). The studies utilized the gas metal arc welding (GMAW) and gas tungsten arc welding (GTAW) processes to deposit welds for evaluation of mechanical properties and corrosion resistance. Another target form of this welding consumable was for the shielded metal arc welding (SMAW) process because it is highly versatile, portable, and widely used. Also, stainless steel SMA welding generally has greater concentrations of Cr(VI) in the welding fume compared to bare wire processes (Refs. 2, 3). The current study reports on the development of a Ni-Cu-based consumable for the SMAW process as well as a bare wire consumable for the GTAW process. This study focused on evaluations of weldability throughout the development process to promote direct implementation of such a consumable. Weldability is defined by the American Welding Society as the capability of successfully fabricating a material by welding and the ability to have that material perform satisfactorily once placed into service (Ref. 16). Based on this definition, the following aspects of weldability were evaluated: mechanical behavior, corrosion resistance, weld cracking resistance, and fume generation rates and composition.

Consumable Development Summary

Welding consumables used in this study were developed in an iterative manner to achieve the optimum deposit composition and minimize potentially harmful fabrication-related issues (Ref. 17). Two types of welding consumables were used during experimentation: a bare wire electrode deposited with the GTAW process and several different SMAW consumables. These are defined using a “Generation” scheme based on the composition of the consumable. The generation classification is provided in Fig. 1, which includes the nominal composition and type of welding consumable. Directly outside of each box containing the different consumables of each generation are an abbreviation for each consumable type, for example II-A, II-B, and III. These abbreviation names are used for the remainder of the document. To meet corrosion require-
Table 1 — Generation II SMAW Consumable Compositions (wt-%) Determined with Mass Spectrometry and Interstitial Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consumables</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Pd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ni-209 core wire</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-Cu deposited weld metal</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>8.01</td>
<td>89.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-Cu-Pd deposited weld metal</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>bal</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 — Compositions (wt-%) of Wire Drawn from Castings Used for Generation III and IV Wire Consumables as Determined with Mass Spectrometry and Interstitial Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consumables</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Ru</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heat 1 (Generation III)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat 2 (Generation IV)</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>7.78</td>
<td>85.85</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 — Compositions (wt-%) of Base Metals Used for Weld Deposits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heat</th>
<th>Composition (wt-%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM1 304L (6.4 mm)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9JA7 304L (6.4 mm)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9JL9 304L (9.5 mm)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ments as shown below, target compositions of the consumables were Ni-7.5Cu-1Pd and Ni-7.5Cu-1Ru with other alloying additions to improve weldability.

The Generation I consumable was produced in limited quantity to evaluate the corrosion behavior and mechanical properties of the Ni-Cu-Pd target composition as reported elsewhere (Ref. 18). This consumable was used in conjunction with both the GTAW and GMAW processes to make high-quality welds.

Generation II consumables were the first SMAW consumables developed. Cu and Pd powders were added to a flux coating, which was extruded over Ni-200 and Ni-209 (Ni-200 provided better weld deoxidation than Ni-200) core wire. Compositions of the Ni-209 core wire, as well as Ni-Cu and Ni-Cu-Pd all-weld-metal deposits are shown in Table 1. All-weld-metal deposits were obtained by making a single weld pass with the SMAW consumables on a copper chill block.

Beginning with the Generation III consumables, additions of Cu and Ru were made directly to the core wire because transfer efficiency was determined to be insufficient to reach target levels in Generation II welds (see Table 1). The noble element Ru was found to be an acceptable alternative to Pd from a corrosion standpoint and at reduced cost (Ref. 19). A custom heat of filler metal material (target composition Ni-7.5Cu-1Ru-0.5Al-0.5Ti-0.02C) was cast with a two-stage vacuum induction melting and electroslag remelting (VIM/ESR) process to ensure alloy purity and homogeneity. The actual composition of the Ni-Cu-Ru casting (Heat 1) is provided in Table 2. The casting was extruded into 3.2-mm (0.125-in.) and 1.1-mm (0.045-in.-) diameter wires for use with SMAW and GTAW, respectively. The SMAW wires were coated with three different batches of flux coatings. All of the coated consumables produced multipass welds that contained unacceptable levels of porosity. Surprisingly, single-pass welding was successfully performed, and it is surmised that some elements in the Type 304L base metal (probably Cr, Mn, and Si) aided in reducing porosity as they mixed with the molten weld pool during welding trials. Welds made with the bare wire GTAW process were free of porosity because argon shielding gas was used. In the current work, when Generation III welds are mentioned with regard to corrosion and weldability testing, they were deposited with the GTAW process (Generation III-BW) because the SMAW deposits contained significant levels of porosity in multipass welds. Gas tungsten arc welds contained the nominal composition that was desired of the SMA welds; therefore, much of the weldability evaluation utilized GTAW deposits. It should be noted that the GTAW wire has potential use with the GMAW process; however, such an evaluation was beyond the scope of the current study and further work would be required to evaluate GMA weldability.

A second heat of filler metal material (Generation IV) was produced containing increased Ti content (target composition of Ni-7.5Cu-1Ru-0.5Al-4Ti-0.02C). The actual heat composition is shown in Table 2. This ingot was reduced to 3.2-mm wire electrodes, which were coated with several iterations of flux coatings (designated 11584, 11595, and 11607) until satisfactory electrode operability was achieved as deemed by certified welders and staff who specialize in the development of flux-based consumables.

Experimental Procedures

Welding Procedures

Shielded metal arc welds were produced using 3.2-mm Generation II, III, and IV welding electrodes on 6.4- and 9.5-mm-thick Type 304L base metal (Table 3). Welding current was maintained in the range of 120–130 A, voltage between 24–25 V in the DC electrode positive configuration, and travel speed at approximately 2.5 mm s⁻¹ for all welded samples produced with the SMAW consumables. During GTA welding with Generation III-BW, the current was maintained at 240–260 A, voltage between 24–25 V, and travel speed at 4.4 mm s⁻¹. Shielding gas used during welding was argon with a flow rate of 14 l/min for SMAW and 12 l/min for GTA welding.
BW consumable, the weld deposits were made with a current of 200 A, voltage of 13.5 V, travel speed of 2.1 mm s⁻¹, and wire feed speed of 25.4 mm s⁻¹ under 100% argon shielding gas. Gas tungsten arc welding was performed on a sidebeam carriage with automatic arc voltage control (set to 10% sensitivity) and a 300-A constant current power supply.

**Mechanical Testing**

Mechanical properties of welds were determined by tensile testing, guided bend testing, and Vickers microhardness tests.

**Metallurgical Evaluation**

Welds were sectioned for analysis with light optical microscopy (LOM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Samples were mounted in conductive mount resin and then progressively ground with 240, 600, and 800 grit SiC paper. Final polishing was accomplished using 3 and 1 μm diamond suspension followed by a vibratory polish in 0.02-μm colloidal silica for 2 to 4 h. Etching was performed by swabbing with Marble’s Reagent (10 g CuSO₄, 50 mL HCL, 50 mL distilled H₂O) for 2 to 10 s to achieve the desired effect. Light optical microscopy images were acquired with an inverted metallograph and digital camera. Scanning electron microscopy was performed at accelerating voltages of 10 to 30 kV. Semiquantitative chemical analyses were performed with energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) using the ZAF correction method.

**Corrosion Testing**

Electrochemical corrosion tests were conducted on samples sectioned from GTA and SMA welds. Cyclic polarization tests were performed in aerated 0.1 M NaCl solution using a potentiostat set at a scan rate of 10 mV min⁻¹. A saturated calomel electrode (SCE) and a pure platinum mesh were used as reference and counter electrode, respectively. Air was...
bubbled through the solution for aeration. Prior to initiating scans, the sample open circuit potential was allowed to stabilize for a period of 1–2 h.

Slow strain rate testing (SSRT) was employed to assess the susceptibility of the samples to stress corrosion cracking (SCC). Tensile specimens were made according to ASTM standard E8 (Ref. 21). For welded samples, the tensile specimens were fabricated transverse to the weld with the weld in the center of the gauge section. The surface of the tensile bar was polished to 1 μm to prevent microcracks. Specimens were tested at a strain rate of 3 × 10⁻² s⁻¹ both in air and in 25 wt-% NaCl solution at pH 1.5. After experiments, fracture surfaces were examined by SEM. All SSRT experiments were performed at ambient temperature.

Weldability Testing

Gleeble®-based weldability testing was performed on transverse weld deposits to determine weld metal liquation cracking and ductility dip cracking (DDC) susceptibility using the hot ductility and strain-to-fracture (STF) tests, respectively. Hot ductility testing was performed using a sample free span of 25.4 mm and heating rate of 111°C s⁻¹ (200°F s⁻¹). On-cooling hot ductility tests were free cooled at a rate of approximately 30°C s⁻¹ (54°F s⁻¹). Samples were tested in tension at a stroke rate of 50.8 mm s⁻¹ at the desired test temperature for both on-heating and on-cooling tests. Area reduction was used as an indication of ductility and was calculated based on measurements of initial and final cross section using calibrated precision calipers. Strain-to-fracture testing was performed by making an autogenous spot weld at the center of a dogbone specimen with weld metal deposited at the reduced cross section. The specimens were heated to the desired test temperature and strained. Cracking was evaluated in the spot weld as a measure of DDC susceptibility. Detailed STF testing procedures used in the current study are reported elsewhere (Ref. 22).

Fume Analysis

Fume generation rates (FGR) were measured using a bulk fume collection hood that was modified from an American Type 67-s.
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Results and Discussion

Weld Microstructure

Microstructural observation of de-

posited weld metal made with Generation

II, III-BW, and IV consumables on Type

304L revealed a primary austenite

(gamma fcc) solidification structure with

indications of secondary phases along so-

lidification grain (SGB) and subgrain

boundaries (SSGB). Secondary phases

drew from the matrix of various weld de-

posits by electrolytic dissolution of

d metal in a 10% HCl-methanol solu-

tion. Solutions were filtered through 0.2-

μm pore size filters to collect the extract.

X-ray diffraction analysis of the secondary

phase extract identified particles as tita-

nium-carbonitrides, i.e., Ti(C,N). Regard-

less of the amount of dilution by Type

304L, all welds were fully austenitic as is

consistent with predictions from weld con-

stitution diagrams such as the Schaeffler

Diagram (Ref. 25). The weld metal exhib-

ited a columnar grain structure charac-

teristic of austenitic welds observed in fully

austenitic Ni-based and stainless steel

weld deposits (Ref. 26). Single-pass welds

exhibited epitaxial nucleation from the

Type 304L base metal, and multipass

welds exhibited epitaxial nucleation from

prior weld deposits. A primarily cellular

or cellular-dendritic substructure was ob-

served within the columnar grains. The

primary cell or dendrite arm spacing was

on the order of 20 μm regardless of the

welding consumable or heat input. Repre-

sentative weld metal microstructures are

shown in Fig. 2A–D for Generations II-B,

II-C, III-BW, and IV, respectively.

Migrated grain boundaries (MGB)

d were observed in the microstructure of all

weld deposits. The grain boundary migra-

tion behavior of Generation III-BW and

IV-11607 deposits varied significantly,

even though weld target compositions

were nominally the same. The MGBs in

the Generation IV deposits were much

tortuous in nature than those ob-

served in the Generation III deposits.

Qualitative metallographic estimates re-

vealed a higher volume fraction of precipi-

tates in the Generation IV weld mi-

crostructure, which resulted in more grain

boundary pinning and a higher degree of

tortuosity than observed in the Genera-

tion II weld microstructure. The observed

differences in precipitate distribution

were primarily due to variations in Ti and

C concentrations in the two types of weld

deposits. Generation III-BW weld metals

contained only 0.5 wt-% Ti whereas the

Generation IV wires contained approxi-

mately 1 wt-% Ti. (Note that the core wire

contained 4 wt-% Ti, but much of this was

lost in the arc.) The Generation IV fluxes

contained approximately 12% CaCO3

whereas the Generation III-BW welds

were produced under inert Ar gas during

gTA welding. Carbonates are typically

used to create a protective atmosphere

over the weld pool and to facilitate arc sta-

bility and welding electrode operability

(Ref. 27), and can result in an increase in

C concentration in weld deposits. Higher

C and Ti concentrations present in the

Generation IV-11607 deposits result in a

higher fraction of carbides in the weld

metal of this consumable. The formation

of these carbides appeared to have an ef-

fect on the solidification substructure,

which exhibited more branching of sec-

ondary dendrite arms. The boundary pin-

ning effect on the IV-11607 weld metal mi-

gated grain boundaries was also observed
to be much greater in comparison to the

III-BW deposits. This is caused by the in-

creased Ti(C,N) content (Ref. 28) and the

more tortuous nature of SGB intersections

associated with the cellular dendritic

structure (Ref. 29). The effect of Ti(C,N)

on solidification and solid-state cracking

is discussed below.

Mechanical Properties

Vickers microhardness traverses typi-

cally exhibited lower hardness levels in the

weld metal relative to the Type 304L base

metal and heat-affected zone (HAZ). Fig-

ure 3A shows a double V-groove weld

made with the Generation II consumable

on Type 304L base metal, and B is a mi-

crohardness traverse (250 μm indent spac-

ing) taken across the cross section. Note

that Vickers hardness number (VHN) val-

ues in the base metal were approximately

200 VHN while weld hardness values were

on the order of 150 to 160 VHN for all

generations of weld deposits.

Tensile testing was performed trans-

verse to the weld according to ANSI/AWS

B4.0-98 standard to evaluate mechanical

performance of welds produced with the

Ni-Cu consumables on Type 304L base

material. Results of tensile testing are
summarized in Table 4 where each value is an average of four tests. Ultimate tensile strength of Generation II, III-BW, and IV weld deposits exceeded the minimum required values of Type 304L. All deposits exceeded the minimum strength values required for E308-XX SMAW deposits, where XX stands for 15, 16, and 17. Mechanical property values of Type 304L (hot finished and annealed) and E308-XX class consumables (all-weld-metal deposits) are from handbook (Ref. 31) and standard sources (Ref. 32), respectively. Generation II-B and IV-11607 weld deposits had the highest measured strength levels whereas Generation II-C deposits had the lowest mechanical performance based on tensile strength. Some of the welds deposited with this electrode contained incomplete fusion defects and a higher degree of slag inclusions based on radiographs. This is believed to be the cause of the lower mechanical performance. The III-BW had lower tensile strength values than Generation II and IV deposits. This was due to the fact that welds were made with the GTAW process, which typically lacked the inclusions (and had a lower volume fraction of precipitates) that could have promoted strengthening and decreased ductility. All transverse tensile test failures occurred in the weld metal, indicating that the tensile strength of the Type 304L base metal was well above the required minimum.

Additional tensile specimens were made so that all-weld-metal properties could be determined. This was accomplished by depositing multiple weld passes in a groove (~19 mm wide × 3.3 mm deep) machined into Type 304L plate. The Type 304L backing was machined away after filling the groove with weld metal, resulting in a reduced section containing all weld metal. A 12.7-mm extensometer was used during tensile testing of the Generation III-BW and IV-11607 weld deposits, thus the extensometer was entirely within the width (~19 mm) of the multipass transverse weld deposit. In using an extensometer gauge length that was narrower than the weld deposit, erroneous measurements induced by nonhomogeneous dissimilar weld mechanical properties were avoided. Although these are not the standard all-weld-metal tensile tests, this method provided a good estimate of weld metal properties (including proof stress, elongation, and area reduction) in the transverse direction. A typical stress-strain curve obtained with this procedure is shown in Fig. 4, which was measured for a Generation IV-11607 all-weld-metal deposit. Note that the inset in the figure is an enlarged portion of the elastic region of the curve. Also included on the plot are stress-strain curves for two E308-type consumables with different ferrite numbers (FN) – FN 5.7 and FN 0 (Ref. 30). The weld with FN 0 contained some small cracks whose nature was not identified. In the past, these have been termed “microrisseurs” (Ref. 30), but this term has no meaning with respect to the cracking mechanism. This test method showed that Generation III-BW and IV-11607 deposits exceeded minimum elongation requirements of E308-XX SMAW deposits. It is evident from the reported values of mechanical properties that Ni-Cu consumables are able to meet the minimum mechanical performance requirements of current Type 308 stainless steel consumables based on the AWS design code for filler metals.

Guided bend tests were performed on samples made from Generation II-B, II-C, III-BW, and IV-11607 weld deposits on 304L. Results of the bend tests are summarized in Table 5. The four II-B welds passed the requirements of AWS D1.6:1999, *Structural Welding Code — Stainless Steel*. Two of the II-C samples passed these requirements and two cracked due to preexisting, incomplete fusion defects associated with sample fabrication. Bend testing of III-BW deposits showed microcracks in two of the tested weld deposits though there were no macroscopic failures. Three of the four samples passed AWS standard minimum requirements. The Generation IV weld deposits were tested over two bend radii, 12.7 mm (0.50 in.) and 19 mm (0.75 in.). Samples passed the code requirements at the lower elongation values, which conforms to AWS consumable qualification standard minimums for Ni-based alloys (19-mm die block). Representative bend test samples that passed the requirements of AWS D1.6 are shown in Fig. 5.

### Table 6 — Calculated SCTR and DDC-TR Values for Generation II and III Weld Deposits. Other Nickel-Based Alloys and Stainless Steels Are Included for Comparison (Ref. 34)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Dilution Level by Type 304L</th>
<th>SCTR (°C)</th>
<th>DDC-TR (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Generation II-B</td>
<td>~14%</td>
<td>111 ± 7</td>
<td>855–1055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation II-C</td>
<td>~10%</td>
<td>109 ± 6</td>
<td>770–1140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation III-BW</td>
<td>~11%</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>920–1130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 304L (FN6)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alloy 617</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 310 SS</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alloy 625</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 7 — Summary of Important Hot Ductility Parameters of Generation II-C and III Deposits on 304L. Data Are Reported in °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hot Ductility Parameter</th>
<th>Generation II-C (~40% dilution)</th>
<th>Generation III-BW (~20% dilution)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NDT</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>1360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NST</td>
<td>1333</td>
<td>1374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRT</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>1320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR (NST-DRT)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDC/TR</td>
<td>850–1150</td>
<td>800–1100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Corrosion Evaluation

Corrosion compatibility of the Ni-Cu consumables with austenitic stainless steel was a requirement from the start of this work because stainless steels are used in corrosive environments (Refs. 11, 12, 14). The results of initial work showed corrosion compatibility in chloride-containing environments of dissimilar welds consisting of a Ni-Cu-based weld consumable containing the noble element palladium and austenitic stainless steel. Further work showed that a GTA and SMA consumable based on the Ni-Cu-Pd system were also compatible for stainless welding (Ref. 18). The use of ruthenium was investigated as an alternative to Pd because the cost of platinum group metals fluctuate with time, and Ru is typically the least expensive of the platinum group metals. This compatibility was obtained based on the following corrosion design criteria (Ref. 11): 1) the breakdown potential and passivation potential of the weld metal were required to be greater than the corrosion potential of the stainless steel base metal to avoid localized corrosion such as pitting or crevice corrosion; and 2) the corrosion potential of the weld metal was required to be equal to or greater than the corrosion potential of the stainless steel to cathodically protect the weld. Based on electrochemical corrosion testing of Generation II, III-BW, and IV weld deposits on Type 304L, the corrosion design requirements were satisfied. A summary of the critical electrochemical potentials is shown in Fig. 6 where measured potentials are plotted for each generation of consumable in comparison to Type 304L. Potentials were measured vs. a saturated calomel electrode (SCE).
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age values were calculated from at least three experimental runs, and standard deviations are indicated for Generations III and IV of those runs. The breakdown (E_b) and repassivation (E_rp) potentials of the Generation II, III, and IV welds on Type 304L were greater than the corrosion potential (E_corr) of Type 304L, thus satisfying the first corrosion design requirement. Also, the corrosion potential of the Generation II (~116 mV_{SCM}), III (~133 mV_{SCM}), and IV (~87 mV_{SCM}) weld metals were greater than the corrosion potential of Type 304L (~136 mV_{SCM}), satisfying the second design requirement. Differences in corrosion potentials between the various generations of weld deposits may be attributed to slight variations in consumable composition and the extent of weld dilution. The higher volume fraction of secondary phase particles in the Generation II and IV deposits may also have resulted in increased pitting susceptibility with respect to Generation III deposits, hence the lower breakdown potentials (Ref. 33). However, even with large variations of weld dilution levels, weld deposits met the design criteria. This suggests that these consumables would be considerably tolerant to variations in dilution from a corrosion perspective.

Slow strain rate testing (SSRT) was performed to assess the stress corrosion cracking (SCC) susceptibility of welds in a chloride-containing environment. Slow strain rate testing specimens were machined from welds deposited with Generations II, III, and IV consumables. These samples were tested in 25 wt-% NaCl solution (pH 1.5) at a strain rate of 3 × 10^{-7} s^{-1} and at ambient temperature. Results of tests conducted in air and in the solution are shown in Fig. 7A–C. As the figure shows, ductility was higher in air than in the NaCl environment for all three generations of weld deposits. The observed disparity between air and solution was most pronounced in Generation II welds. Examination of fracture surfaces of the Generation II welds tested in solution revealed that failure occurred in the weld metal and was associated with brittle transgranular features. Generation III-BW welds had lower ductility than Generation II, which may be attributed to some welding defects that occurred during sample fabrication (such as incomplete fusion). However, comparing the Generation III SSRT in air to that in solution still shows reduction in strength and ductility suggesting some susceptibility to SCC. Generation IV weld deposits also showed some reduction in strength and ductility, although the observed difference was not as great as that seen in Generation III welds. Most of the fracture surface of Generation III and IV SSRT samples was in the Type 304L HAZ adjacent to the fusion boundary. Fe-Ni-Cr alloys containing high concentrations of Ni generally have good resistance to SCC according to the well-known Copson curve (Ref. 34), and all of the weld deposits in the current study contained high concentrations of Ni. Based on the Copson curve, one would expect the weld deposits to have significantly longer failure times than Type 304L base material used, which have the shortest failure times on the curve. Because the fabricated samples were not all weld metal, it is probable that the SCC susceptibility of the stainless steel HAZ is dominating the sample failure behavior during the testing. This concept is supported by the observation that fractures of Generation II and III deposits occurred mostly in the stainless steel (Refs. 18, 19).

Weldability Evaluation

During fabrication, Ni-based alloys can be susceptible to solidification and liquation cracking, as well as the solid-state cracking phenomenon known as ductility dip cracking (DDC) (Ref. 26). Therefore, three different weldability tests were performed to assess susceptibility to high-temperature weld cracking. The Varestraint test was used to evaluate weld solidification cracking susceptibility. The hot ductility test was used to evaluate propensity to weld metal liquation cracking and to assess overall weld metal ductility. The strain-to-fracture test was used to evaluate susceptibility to DDC. Results of these tests are presented and discussed below.

Solidification Cracking

Based on previous transvarestraint testing results, Ni-based alloys have been shown to have a higher solidification cracking susceptibility than Type 304L/308L stainless steels (Refs. 35, 36). These stainless steels solidify under the ferrite-austenite (FA) mode, where primary ferrite solidification is followed by a peritectic-eutectic transformation to austenite (Ref. 37). Weld metal that solidifies under the FA mode generally has high resistance to cracking due to the tortuous nature of SGB intersections, which prevents continuous wetting of liquid films along grain boundaries, and the generally poor wetting of liquid films along ferrite-austenite boundaries. Fully austenitic solidification results in welds with lower inherent resistance to solidification cracking because SGB intersections tend to be straight and susceptible to liquid film wetting. The austenite phase also has a greater tendency to promote segregation of solute elements to grain boundaries than ferrite due to lower inherent solubility of solute elements. For example, the impurity elements S and P have lower solubility in austenite resulting in higher concentrations along grain boundaries as a result of solidification-induced segregation (Ref. 38). Therefore, solidification cracking is of concern when switching from a stainless steel consumable to a Ni-based welding consumable. Consequently, the
cracking susceptibility of Ni-Cu-based welds deposited on Type 304L was investigated using the transvarestraint test. Generation II-B, II-C, and III-BW deposits were made by filling machined grooves in stainless steel to achieve dilutions of approximately 14, 10, and 11%, respectively. The deposits were subjected to at least three strain levels during transvarestraint testing to develop a cracking envelope. Maximum crack distances (MCD) were measured on each tested coupon. Solid-state cracks were observed and counted as well, and the results of the observations are reported in Table 6. Note that only one test was performed at each strain condition for the Generation III-BW deposit due to limited availability of filler metal, so statistical analysis was not performed for those measurements.

Two distinct regions of cracking were observed in all three types of weld deposits. The first range was directly behind the instantaneous liquid-solid interface at the moment strain was applied. This region was associated with weld solidification cracking because it was within the solidification temperature range. The second region where cracking was observed was remote to the solidification cracks, and it was typically observed several millimeters behind the weld region where grain boundary liquid films were not present. Therefore, the second region of cracking occurred in the solid state and is indicative of DDC. Both regions of cracking are shown in the Generation II-B Varestraint test weld depicted in Fig. 8A. The particular sample shown was tested at 7% strain. Note that because a root opening of more than 1 mm existed between the two regions of cracking, the mechanism for cracking is clearly different and associated with a different temperature range.

Maximum crack distances were plotted as a function of applied strain, as shown in Fig. 8B, for each of the three weld deposits. For comparative purposes, the maximum crack length (MCL) of Type 304 and Alloy 718 transvarestraint tests were included on the plot (Ref. 36). Type 304 has a low susceptibility to solidification cracking whereas Alloy 718 has a moderate to high solidification cracking susceptibility. The MCD values of Ni-Cu welds deposited on 304L fall between those of the other two alloys. Although MCD and MCL are not equivalent (MCD is usually slightly less than MCL), the values are usually sufficiently close to allow comparison of solidification cracking behavior. Based on this assumption, the Ni-Cu consumables appear to have a moderate susceptibility to solidification cracking, according to measured crack distances at strain levels between 1 and 7%.

Note that threshold cracking strains were not measured as shown for the Alloy 718 and Type 304 alloys. Threshold strain is the minimum strain to cause solidification cracking and appears to be less than 0.5% for 718 and 304. The II-B deposits appeared to have the highest cracking susceptibility of the three types of Ni-Cu weld deposits. The II-C and III-BW deposits appeared to have similar cracking susceptibility but based on the error bars of the II-C deposit, and the lack of replications of the III-BW deposit, this similarity may not be statistically significant.

Analysis with SEM and EDS compositional mapping revealed that solidification cracks were associated with liquid films rich in Ti and possibly Si, as shown in Fig. 8C. The figure shows the leading edge of a solidification crack of a Generation II-B deposit. This deposit had the highest Ti concentration of the three deposits and also the highest cracking susceptibility. The dense distribution of Ti(C,N) particles was a result of a eutectic reaction at the end of solidification. Such a reaction would effectively promote solidification cracking because a continuous liquid film develops along the solidification grain boundaries (Ref. 26). These liquid films provide little accommodation for any augmented strain (or solidification-induced shrinkage strain during welding), which results in cracking. This indicates why the II-B deposit exhibited the highest susceptibility.

The susceptibility to solidification cracking was further quantified by calculating the solidification cracking temperature range (SCTR). Saturated strain levels are determined by plotting MCD as a function of applied strain. When MCD reaches a value where it does not increase with further increases in strain, it has reached the saturated value. This represents the maximum length along the weld where susceptible microstructure exists. That is to say, cracking extends over the entire length of a grain boundary where a continuous liquid film is present along a grain boundary, assuming there is no crack propagation in the solid state (i.e., DDC).

Based on the cracking envelope shown in Fig. 8B, the saturated MCD might not have been reached because values of MCD appear to be increasing even up to 7% strain. Therefore, the MCD at the highest applied strains for each material was used for calculating SCTR values with the following equation:

\[
SCTR = CR \cdot \frac{MCD}{V}
\]

where the cooling rate (CR) was measured with a thermocouple in the solidification temperature range (~170°C to 200°C s⁻¹), and V was the torch travel speed (2.1 mm s⁻¹) during the test.

Calculations of SCTR values at maximum strain are presented in Table 6 for the Generation II and III-BW weld deposits. Several other stainless steels and Ni-based alloys are included for comparison (Ref. 35). The SCTR assessment shows a similar trend as seen in the cracking envelope in Fig. 8. The Type 304L stainless steel has the lowest SCTR value (31°C) and hence the lowest propensity for solidification cracking. Generation II and III-BW weld deposits have SCTR values of approximately 110°C indicating a higher susceptibility to cracking than Type 304L, although similar in value to other Ni-based alloys such as Alloy 617.

Temperatures over which DDC occurred were estimated using a similar ap-

### Table 8 — Fume Generation Rates of Generation II and IV SAWMAW Consumables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consumable</th>
<th>Current (A)</th>
<th>Arc voltage (V)</th>
<th>Arc Power (kW)</th>
<th>Weld Heat Input (kJ/mm)</th>
<th>Average Fume Generation Rate (g/min)</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Cr(VI) Content in Bulk Welding Fume (wt-%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E308-16 low HI</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E308-16 high HI</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation II-B</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation II-C</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation IV-11607 low HI</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation IV-11607 high HI</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.097</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consumable Current Arc voltage Arc Power Weld Heat Input Average Fume Standard Cr(VI) Content in
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should be controlled to values within those suggesting that levels of these elements contribute to solidification cracking. Ni-Cu consumables developed here. It became tightly controlled. An upper limit for impurity control during welding of vintage stainless alloys with the upper limit for impurity control during such values would likely be an acceptable stainless steel weld deposits with high Ni necessary to avoid solidification cracking in deposits. Weld procedures should call for low P + S... of the current weld deposits. Weld... on solidification cracking resistance. Therefore, as general practice, it is recommended that dilution for the current consumables be limited to approximately 30%.

Weld Metal Hot Ductility

The hot ductility test has been widely used (Ref. 26) to evaluate the weldability of Ni-based alloys and stainless steels because elevated-temperature cracking can usually be directly associated with a loss in available ductility. Using the hot ductility test, on-heating and on-cooling curves were produced for transverse multipass weld deposits of Generation II and III consumables on Type 304L. The dilution levels (by Type 304L) of the weld deposits were approximately 40% and 28% for the Generation II-C and III-BW deposits, respectively. Hot ductility curves for both weld deposits are shown in Fig. 9 and a summary of measured hot ductility behavior is listed in Table 7.

On-heating curves for both deposits increased in ductility up to peak temperatures of approximately 1200°C. Ductility decreased as test temperatures were increased above this temperature and reached zero ductility above 1300°C. The temperature of zero ductility on-heating corresponds to the nil-ductility temperature (NDT). The NDT is the temperature where the weld metal grain boundaries were coated with continuous liquid films resulting in a complete loss of ductility although the films still maintain some load-carrying capacity. Nil-ductility temperature values of the Generation III deposit was approximately 1360°C, a value considerably higher than that observed in the II-C deposit.

An additional test was performed on-heating called the nil-strength temperature (NST) test. Approximately 25 kg of tensile load was placed on the test samples, and they were heated until failure. The value for NST represented the temperature at which sufficient grain boundary liquid was present to allow failure at very low loads. Nil-strength temperature values were found to be 1333° and 1374°C for the Generation II-C and III weld deposits, respectively. The LOM and SEM...
evaluation of the NST fracture specimens revealed that cracking occurred extensively along SGB and SSGB locations and that fractures were dendritic in nature. EDS of the fractures indicated that the liquid films coating the dendrite regions were rich in Ti and Si. This suggests that the eutectic containing Ti(C,N) particles in lower freezing point interdendritic regions undergo liquation.

On-cooling hot ductility tests were performed by heating test samples to a temperature midway between NDT and NST (II-B samples were heated to 1315°C and III-BW samples were heated to 1365°C), then cooling to a predetermined temperature before pulling the sample to failure. The temperature at which the alloy recovers some ductility (~5%) on-cooling is termed the ductility recovery temperature (DRT). These DRT values were determined to be approximately 1300° and 1320°C for the II-C and III-BW weld deposits, respectively. Ductility of both deposits appeared to recover at similar rates upon decreasing temperature from the DRT, although the Generation III deposit recovered more overall ductility upon cooling, which implies that it has a higher resistance to solid-state cracking below approximately 1150°C.

The difference between the NST and DRT is considered the crack-susceptible region (CSR = NST – DRT). Ductility in a multipass weld HAZ is essentially zero within this temperature range due to the presence of liquated grain boundaries. If a weldment experiences prolonged duration in this region, stress will accumulate during cooling of the weld increasing the likelihood of cracking (Ref. 43). The Generation II-C weld deposits had a CSR of approximately 33°C, which represents the temperature range in which the weld metal may be susceptible to cracking phenomena such as weld metal liquation cracking in the HAZ of a multipass weld. The Generation III deposit had a CSR of approximately 54°C, which was larger than the CSR of II-C welds. However, the CSR values are both relatively low, suggesting that the multipass welds would have good resistance to weld metal liquation cracking. For austenitic stainless steels and Ni-based alloys, CSR values above 100°C are thought to be indicative of weld metals susceptible to weld metal liquation cracking (Refs. 26, 37).

If single-pass welding is being performed, then liquation cracking susceptibility in the HAZ is determined by the hot ductility of the base metal material. Plaut et al. have reviewed the hot ductility of wrought 304 stainless steel alloys tested at a strain rate of 6 s⁻¹ (Ref. 44). They noted ductility loss in the range of 1250° to 1350°C for a range of stainless steel compositions. This was associated with a lack of grain boundary cohesion and the onset of liquation. Hot ductility of Type 304 is included in Fig. 9 for comparison with the Ni-Cu weld deposits (Ref. 44). The NDT temperature was not provided but appears to be in the range of 1350° to 1375°C if extrapolated to the zero ductility line. The CSR of Type 304 cannot be determined from on-heating curves alone. However, a comparison of Type 304 and the weld deposits provides some insight into mechanical performance. Clearly, the Type 304 wrought alloy has superior ductility to both types of weld deposits. Wrought 304 stainless steel tensile samples would likely contain a smaller equiaxed grain structure whereas the Ni-Cu deposits contain large columnar grains due to weld solidification. Larger grain sizes are typically associated with a decrease in ductility and toughness. This suggests that liquation cracking susceptibility would be low in single-pass dissimilar welds of this type because more ductility will always be available in Type 304 than in the weld deposit. This observation is made based on the on-heating hot ductility of Type 304 and the observation that Type 304 may also form ferrite at the grain boundaries in the HAZ, which further reduces susceptibility (Ref. 37).

Note that the Generation II-C on-heating and on-cooling hot ductility curves
show a ductility dip in the temperature range from 850°C to 1150°C. A similar observation was made in the on-heating curve of the Generation III deposit, where ductility was reduced in the range of approximately 800°C to 1100°C. The on-cooling curve of the Generation III deposit also decreased at the lower temperatures. These temperature ranges correlate reasonably well with the solid-state cracking temperature ranges found during Varestraint testing of the weld deposits shown in Table 7. To further examine cracking susceptibility due to this ductility dip, and determine threshold strains necessary to induce DDC, samples of II-C and III-BW weld metal were subjected to the strain-to-fracture test.

Ductility Dip Cracking

It was not possible to determine threshold strains necessary to induce DDC during transvarestraint testing because the die blocks imposed fixed levels of strain (at 2% strain increments) to the weld metal during bending. The strain-to-fracture test is better suited to determine the threshold strains necessary to cause DDC because strain can be applied at smaller increasing increments until crack initiation occurs (Ref. 22). A test temperature of 950°C has been proposed as a suitable temperature for comparing DDC susceptibility of different alloys because it is typically the temperature where the minimum in the ductility trough occurs (Ref. 45). Therefore, this temperature was utilized to determine threshold strains necessary to induce DDC in Generation II and III consumables on one side of the sample during fabrication. Autogenous GTA spot welds were then placed on the weld deposit and on the Type 304L on the opposing side of the Generation III welds. Gauge marks were placed on the reduced center section. These were measured before and after testing to determine the average strain level imparted into the center section during the test. Note that strain is nonuniform across the gauge section due to the presence of a temperature gradient, which results in localized deformation. Samples of the configuration shown were heated to 950°C and strained to induce cracking, then carefully inspected under a binocular microscope after testing to determine the total number of cracks.

A comparison of cracking susceptibility at 950°C of Generation II-C and III deposits with other Ni-based filler metals (Ref. 46) and Type 304L is shown in Fig. 10B. The number of cracks observed on each specimen is listed. The plot shows that Generation III welds had a higher threshold strain than II-C deposits and is comparable to FM-82. The II-C deposits have a cracking susceptibility similar to FM-52. Type 304L had the highest threshold strain values and is generally considered very resistant to DDC. Nickel-based alloy FM-52 has a high DDC susceptibility in high-restraint, thick-section welds. It has the lowest cracking threshold of any of the weld metals included in Fig. 10. Note that the II-C deposit had similar threshold values as the FM-52 weld metal. Thus, it is likely that II-C would have a high cracking susceptibility in high-restraint welds. The III-BW weld metal appears to have a cracking threshold similar to FM-82 at intermediate temperatures. FM-82 is considered to have a better resistance to DDC than FM-52 (Ref. 47), therefore Generation III welds would be considered to have a similar cracking resistance in high-restraint welds as FM-82.

In addition to the threshold cracking strain, the transition to “massive cracking” has been established as an important indicator of cracking susceptibility (Ref. 46). Materials that transition from the threshold strain to massive cracking over a short strain interval were typically observed to have higher susceptibility to DDC. This was described as the strain where the number of cracks exceeded 50 in the spot weld or when they became too numerous to accurately count. Based on that criterion, the transition to massive cracking was not observed in Generation II-C or III deposits within the strain envelope of testing (less than 5% in Generation II-C and less than 7% in Generation III).

The Generation IV weld deposits exhibited higher grain boundary tortuosity due to grain boundary pinning by medium-sized Ti(C,N) particles. Grain boundary pinning with medium-sized intergranular (Nb,Ti)C carbides and has been shown decrease DDC susceptibility in Ni-based weld deposits (Ref. 28). Other work showed that DDC susceptibility in Ni-based welds was decreased with an increase in intergranular carbide coverage, regardless of carbide type (Ref. 48). Therefore, future STF testing of Generation IV welds may reveal additional improvements in DDC resistance based on those observations.

Fume Characterization

A thorough analysis of fume produced by these consumables was necessary to ensure that Cr(VI) was sufficiently reduced to levels that would support their implementation. Welding fumes produced by the Generation II and Generation IV consumables were evaluated by performing fume generation rate measurements followed by composition analysis with EDS, and chemical analysis with XRD and visible absorption spectrophotometry to evaluate bulk fume phases and Cr(VI) content, respectively.

The measured FGR values are shown in Table 8 for E308-16, and Generation II and IV SMAW consumables. Welding parameters are also listed for each collection performed. Comparing the FGR measurements of the Generation II and IV consumables to values obtained for E308-16 shows the Generation II chromium-free electrodes have higher FGR values at similar heat inputs to the E308-16. The Generation IV consumables were tested at higher heat input conditions than Generation II because those different weld parameters were required to produce good quality weld deposits. The higher heat inputs used during Generation IV testing resulted in higher FGR values than the
E308-16 as well. However, the Ni-Cu consumables have lower FGR values than other flux-based processes such as the E308LT1-1 electrode used for flux cored arc welding (Ref. 49).

Bulk fume composition was measured with EDS as listed in Table 9. Note that some Cr was observed in the bulk fume, although this is much lower than levels observed in fumes of stainless steel welding consumables (Ref. 3). Phases in bulk welding fume were readily identified with XRD because the fumes collected on filters during FGR measurements were essentially in powder form. Particles analyzed with this technique varied across a broad size range based on observations with SEM. The XRD scan results are shown in Fig. 11A and B for the Generation II and IV bulk fumes, respectively. Note the different scales on the axes of the two spectra. Multiple phases were observed in both spectra; however, no phases were observed that contained Cr(VI) such as K2CrO4 or Na2CrO4 as previous evaluation of stain.

Electrochemical corrosion tests revealed that weld deposits met corrosion design criteria to avoid galvanic and localized corrosion in chloride environments.

5. Transverse restraint testing revealed that Generation II and III weld deposits had solidification cracking temperature range (SCTR) values of approximately 110°C. This is significantly higher than E308L weld metal (30°–40°C) but similar to other Ni-base weld deposits that exhibit moderate solidification cracking susceptibility.

6. Hot ductility testing revealed that the Generation II and III-BW deposits had low liquidation cracking indexes as determined by the cracking susceptibility range (CSR) calculations. The CSR values were 33° and 54°C for Generation II and III weld deposits, respectively.

7. Generation III GTAW weld deposits had better overall elevated temperature ductility than Generation II SMA weld deposits. Both deposits exhibited a ductility dip in the range of 800° to 1100°C.

8. Strain-to-fracture testing revealed that cracking threshold strains of Generation II (~2%) and III (~3%) weld metals were significantly lower than stainless steel alloys such as Type 304L, although threshold strain levels were comparable to other Ni-base filler metals.

9. Fume generation rates of the Generation II and IV consumables were higher than E308-16 at similar heat inputs but were lower than stainless steel flux cored welding consumables.

10. Cr(VI) bearing compounds were not detected when XRD was used to analyze the chemistry of bulk fume produced from the SMAW process.

11. Cr(VI) in the Generation II and IV welding fumes was reduced by two orders of magnitude compared to F308-16 tested at similar heat inputs. Complete elimination of Cr(VI) may be unavoidable because there will always be some vaporization of Cr from the molten surface during arc welding when there is some dilution of the Ni-Cu consumable by the stainless steel.
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